

United States Patent and Trademark Office

L

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/787,523	02/26/2004		Isaac Aikens	5-5764-001	6450
803	7590	10/13/2006		EXAMINER	
STURM & I	FIX LLP	•	OLSON, MARGARET LINNEA		
206 SIXTH AVENUE SUITE 1213 DES MOINES, IA 50309-4076				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3782	
			DATE MAILED: 10/13/2006	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/787,523	AIKENS, ISAAC					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Margaret L. Olson	3727					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	action is non-final. Ince except for formal matters, pro Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45 Incomparison of the properties of the proper						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/orApplication Papers	election requirement.						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		ne Examiner					
10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>2/26/2004</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/26/04.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te					

Application/Control Number: 10/787,523 Page 2

Art Unit: 3727

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Simons (US 752,004). Simons discloses a carrier device for a vehicle 1 with handlebars 2 with a main strap member having a central loop 6 and a pair of opposed auxiliary loops 8, and a plurality of sling strap members 4 and 5 with opposed ends connected to opposed surfaces on the central loop 6.

With respect to claim 2, the sling strap members 4 and 5 are connected to one another proximate their midpoint with a strap 7 that may be located anywhere between the ends and midpoints of the straps 4 and 5 (column 2, lines 66-70).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/787,523 Page 3

Art Unit: 3727

4. Claims 3-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Simons (US 752,004) in view of Coolidge (US 599,119). Simons does not disclose three sling strap members attached to the central loop. Coolidge teaches a carrier for use an a vehicle with handlebars that has a central loop D, two supports for attachment to the handlebars, and a plurality of over three sling strap members connected to the central loop (figure 1). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to include more than three sling strap members on a carrier device to provide additional support for articles in the carrier.

With respect to claim 4, the primary reference Simons suggests the use of leather (page 2, column 1, lines 28-31) for the strap members, which is a flexible material.

With respect to claim 5, the primary reference suggests the use of leather for the strap members, which is a resilient material.

With respect to claim 6, the primary reference suggests the use of leather for the strap members, which is a flexible and resilient material.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Crago (US 6,550,654), Wall (US 610,251), Summers (US 4,900,204), and Moore (US 5,340,004) all disclose similar inventions.

Application/Control Number: 10/787,523

Art Unit: 3727

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Margaret L. Olson whose telephone number is (571) 272-9002. The examiner can normally be reached on MTWR, 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan Newhouse can be reached on (571) 272-4544. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

mlo

STEPHEN K. CRONIN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER